Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/644,75	1	HIROHATA ET AL	<u>-</u> .
Examiner-initiated interview Summi	Examiner	•	Art Unit	
	Christophe	er M. Keehan	1712	
All Participants:	Status of Application:			
(1) Christopher M. Keehan.	(3)	·		
(2) Robert Price.	(4)	·		
Date of Interview: 30 June 2005	Time: _			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:] Applicant's repres No	entative)		
·				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed:				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH A call was placed to applicant to verify that a reply has verified that no reply has been filed				licant
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The examiner eparate record of the	will provide a write substance of the	ten summary of the e interview, since the	e substance
-				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Applicant's	Representative S	Signature – if appro	priate)